Bundle Adjustment

Yuji Oyamada ^{1,2}

¹ HVRL, Keio University

² Chair for Computer Aided Medical Procedure (CAMP), Technische Universität München

March 23, 2012

Bundle adjustment is an algorithm that estimates all unknown parameters using all available observation.

1 Problem statement

Suppose m cameras observe a static scene/objects at same time and n feature points are totally detected. Our goal is to estimate the 3D positions of the points and camera parameters.

The 3D position of j-th feature point is represented by a 3D vector as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_j = [X_j, Y_j, Z_j]^{\mathbf{T}}, \ j = 1, \dots, n.$$

A feature point X_j observed by *i*-th camera is represented by a 2D vector as $x_{ij} = [x_{ij}, y_{ij}]^T$. With a 3×4 matrix P_i, x_{ij} is described as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{ij} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \propto \mathbb{P}_i \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_i \equiv \mathbf{K}_i \left[\mathbf{R}_i | \mathbf{t}_i \right]. \tag{2}$$

Here, K_i , R_i , t_i denote the intrinsic parameter, the rotation matrix and the translation vector respectively. Figure 1 shows the camera geometry of target scene.

Bundle adjustment estimates unknown camera parameters and 3D positions of feature points under following assumption. Let a vector p_i pack all unknown parameters of *i*-th camera. If we have ground truth $\{p_i\}$ and $\{X_j\}$, re-projected feature point

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{ij} & \hat{y}_{ij} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{comp}(x; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j) & \operatorname{comp}(y; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\mathbf{P}_i)_1[\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathsf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathsf{T}}}{(\mathbf{P}_i)_3[\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathsf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathsf{T}}} & \frac{(\mathbf{P}_i)_3[\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathsf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathsf{T}}}{(\mathbf{P}_i)_3[\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathsf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathsf{T}}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

should be same on the *i*-th image. Here, $((P_i)_k$ denotes *k*-th row vector of P_i) and its observation x_{ij} Thus, the difference of observation and this re-projection $||x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij}||_2^2$ should be slight, not zero due to observation error. Figure 2 illustrates the geometrical relationship.



Figure 1: Camera Geometry



Figure 2: Re-projection: 2D point case.



Figure 3: Re-projection: 3D point case.

Based on this assumption, Bundle adjustment estimates camera parameters and 3D position of features points by minimizing the following cost function:

$$E(\{\boldsymbol{p}_i\}, \{\boldsymbol{X}_j\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left[(x_{ij} - \text{comp}(x; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j))^2 + (y_{ij} - \text{comp}(y; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j))^2 \right]$$
(3)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left[(x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij})^2 + (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_{ij})^2 \right].$$
(4)

The cost function Eq. (3) is called re-projection error. This style simultaneous optimization of both unknown camera parameters and scene structure is called **Bundle Adjustment**.

Now, we consider applying bundle adjustment to depth camera. Let $X_{ij} = [X_{ij} Y_{ij} Z_{ij}]^{T}$ denotes a depth information of *j*-th point observed by *i*-th camera and \hat{X}_{ij} denotes re-projected X_{ij} as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X}_{ij} & \hat{Y}_{ij} & \hat{Z}_{ij} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{comp}(X; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j) & \operatorname{comp}(Y; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j) & \operatorname{comp}(Z; \boldsymbol{p}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathsf{P}_i)_1 [\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathbf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathbf{T}} & (\mathsf{P}_i)_2 [\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathbf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathbf{T}} & (\mathsf{P}_i)_3 [\boldsymbol{X}_j^{\mathbf{T}} \ 1]^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the cost function Eq. (3) is re-formulated for depth camera as

$$E\left(\{\boldsymbol{p}_i\}, \{\boldsymbol{X}_j\}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left[(X_{ij} - \hat{X}_{ij})^2 + (Y_{ij} - \hat{Y}_{ij})^2 + (Z_{ij} - \hat{Z}_{ij})^2 \right].$$
(5)

Figure 3 illustrates the geometrical relationship.

2 Numerical optimization

Let x represent a set of all unknown variables. The cost function $E(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m, \mathbf{X}_j, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ is nonlinear function of x, thus, finding x minimizing Eq. (3) is achieved by iterative numerical optimization. Given an initial guess x, we iteratively update the solution as $\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$ until the current solution satisfies some conditions.

The core of bundle adjustment is minimization of re-projection error $E(\mathbf{x})$. Usually, cost function $E(\mathbf{x})$ forms sum of squares, popular solution is least-squares method.

2.1 Newton's method

Newton's method computes second order derivative, or its approximation.

2.1.1 Gauss-Newton method

The solution x minimizing the cost function should satisfy dE/dx.

Newton's method updates current estimate x with an update δx as $x \to x + \delta x$ and iterates this update until convergence. Applying Tailor expansion around current x, we obtain

$$E(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) \approx E(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{x}, \tag{6}$$

where g and H are gradient and Hessian at x as

$$\mathbf{g} = \left. \frac{dE}{d\mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{H} = \left. \frac{d^2 E}{d\mathbf{x}^2} \right|_{\mathbf{x}}$$
(7)

Regarding x as constant, the update δx minimizing the right hand side of Eq. (6) is

$$H\delta x = -g. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, is H is invertible, the update is $\delta x = -H^{-1}g$. If the current solution x is enough closer to the minimum value, H is positive-definite that means all eigenvalue is positive. If it's a case, rapid convergence of the above udpate is guaranteed.

However, when x is far from the minimum, there is no guarantee that H is positive-definite. Furthermore, computing H is heavy cost. For this issue, Gauss-Newton method approximates textttH as

$$\mathbf{H} \approx \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{J},\tag{9}$$

where J is Jacovian matrix of $E(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$J = \frac{dE(\mathbf{x})}{d\mathbf{x}}.$$
 (10)

With Jacobian, Eq. (8) is rewritten as

$$\mathbf{A}\delta\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a},\tag{11}$$

where $a = -g = -J^{T}e$.

2.1.2 Levenberg-Marquardt

Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm changes Eq. (11) as

$$(\mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})\delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a},\tag{12}$$

where $\lambda \leq 0$ is a dumping factor. When $\lambda = 0$, LM becomes Gauss-Newton while LM becomes steepest descent with big λ .