# Lens Distortion

Yuji Oyamada <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> HVRL, Keio University

<sup>2</sup> Chair for Computer Aided Medical Procedure (CAMP), Technische Universität München

#### April 15, 2012

## 1 Pinhole camera model

Let  $\mathbf{X}_c = [X_c, Y_c, Z_c]^{\top}$  denotes a point in the camera reference frame and  $\mathbf{x} = [x, y]^{\top}$  denotes its projection onto the image plane in the camera coordinate. The homogeneous coordinate of a point is described by its tilde as  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbf{x}^{\top}, 1]^{\top}$ .

**Intrinsic parameters.** The intrinsic parameters transform a point in 3D coordinate into the pixel coordinate. The point  $X_c$  is projected onto the canonical image plane as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{Z_c} \begin{bmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Following a polynomial lens distortion model Faugeras and Toscani [1986]; Weng et al. [1992], the lens distorted point  $\boldsymbol{x}_d = [x_d, y_d]^{\top}$  is described as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_d = D(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \tag{2}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_n + \boldsymbol{d} \tag{3}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_n + \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{rad}} + \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{tan}},\tag{4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{rad}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\delta_1 r^2 + \delta_2 r^4 + \delta_5 r^6) x_n \\ (\delta_1 r^2 + \delta_2 r^4 + \delta_5 r^6) y_n \end{bmatrix},\tag{5}$$

 $\boldsymbol{d}_{\text{tan}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\delta_3 x_n y_n + \delta_4 (3x_n^2 + y_n^2) \\ 2\delta_4 x_n y_n + \delta_3 (x_n^2 + 3y_n^2) \end{bmatrix},\tag{6}$ 

$$r = \sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2},\tag{7}$$

where D denotes a lens distortion function that distorts  $\boldsymbol{x}_n$  given lens distortion parameter  $\boldsymbol{\delta} = [\delta_1, \dots, \delta_5]^\top$  and  $\boldsymbol{d}_{rad}$  and  $\boldsymbol{d}_{tan}$  denote the radial distortion and tangential distortion vector respectively. The final pixel coordinate  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is described using calibration matrix  $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$  as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & \theta & o_x \\ 0 & f_y & o_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
(8)

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{A}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_d,\tag{9}$$

where  $[f_x, f_y]^{\top}$  denotes the focal length along x and y axes respectively,  $\theta$  the skew parameter, and  $[o_x, o_y]^{\top}$  the principle point.

**Extrinsic parameters.** The extrinsic parameters transform the 3D world coordinate to the 3D camera reference coordinate. A point  $X_c$  in the world coordinate is transformed to one in the camera reference coordinate by extrinsic parameters as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_c = [\boldsymbol{R} \ \boldsymbol{t}] \boldsymbol{X} \tag{10}$$

$$= \mathbf{R}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t} \tag{11}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} & t_1 \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} & t_2 \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} & t_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}X + R_{12}Y + R_{13}Z + t_1 \\ R_{21}X + R_{22}Y + R_{23}Z + t_2 \\ R_{31}X + R_{32}Y + R_{33}Z + t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

$$\lambda \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n = [\boldsymbol{R} \ \boldsymbol{t}] \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}$$
(14)

where  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  denotes the rotation matrix and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes the translation vector.

Considering the all above components (Eqs. (1), (3), (9), and (10)), the 2D pixel position of a given 3D point is obtained with a 3D point projection function  $Proj(\cdot)$  as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_p = \operatorname{Proj}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{t})$$
(15)

$$= \boldsymbol{A} \left[ D([\boldsymbol{R} \ \boldsymbol{t}] \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \right]$$
(16)

$$= A \left[ [R \ t] \tilde{X} + \tilde{d} \right]$$
(17)

$$= \boldsymbol{A} \left[ \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n + \tilde{\boldsymbol{d}} \right] \tag{18}$$

#### **2** Projection matrix and lens distortion

In Salvi's survey paper on camera calibration Salvi et al. [2002] that compares several calibration method Faugeras and Toscani [1986]; Hall et al. [1982]; Tsai [1987]; Weng et al. [1992], two important observations are mentioned. One observation is that the calibration method considering lens distortion Tsai [1987]; Weng et al. [1992] provides more accurate result than ones do not consider lens distortion Tsai [1987]; Weng et al. [1992]. The other observation is that a method solving projection matrix Hall et al. [1982] performs better than one solving intrinsic and extrinsic parameters Faugeras and Toscani [1986] because it computes the transformation matrix without any constraint. From these two observations, we are wondering if there is a method that solves projection matrix considering lens distortion.

Here, we consider rewriting Eq. (18) with a projection matrix  $P \equiv A[R \ t]$ . Expanding Eq. (18), we obtain

**Input:** Corresponding points  $\{X_j \leftrightarrow x_{i,j}\}$ .

### Step1: Solve intrinsic and extrinsic parameters

- 1: for i = 1 to N do
- 2: Compute a homography  $H_i$  given  $\{X_j \leftrightarrow x_{i,j}\}$ .
- 3: end for
- 4: Compute intrinsic parameters A.
- 5: **for** i = 1 to *N* **do**
- 6: Compute extrinsic parameters  $(\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{t}_i)$ .
- 7: end for
- 8: Estimate lens distortion parameters  $\delta$  and refine A by minimizing

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{p,i,j} - \operatorname{Proj}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{j}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{R}_{i}, \boldsymbol{t}_{i}).$$
(26)

#### Step2: Solve projection matrix

- 9: Undistort control points  $x_u = x_p d_p$  using the estimated parameters  $A, R, t, \delta$ .
- 10: Estimate projection matrix P by minimizing

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \tilde{x}_{u,i,j} - P_i \tilde{X}_j.$$
<sup>(27)</sup>

Output: Estimated parameters *P* and the undistorted images.

the following expression:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_p = \boldsymbol{A} \left[ \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n + \tilde{\boldsymbol{d}} \right] \tag{19}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ y_p \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & \theta & o_x \\ 0 & f_y & o_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n + d_x \\ y_n + d_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} f_x(x_n + d_x) + \theta(y_n + d_y) + o_x \\ f_y(y_n + d_y) + o_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} f_x x_n + \theta y_n + o_x \\ f_y y_n + o_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_x d_x + \theta d_y \\ f_y d_y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

$$= A\tilde{x}_n + \tilde{d}_p \tag{23}$$

$$=\tilde{x}_u + \tilde{d}_p \tag{24}$$

Since lens distortion vector d is computed on the canonical view, considering lens distortion implicitly assumes that we decompose a projection matrix into intrinsic and extrinsic parameters.

To solve a projection matrix considering lens distortion, we first undistort x and then solve the parameters. With correct projection matrix P, the following equation should be held for any corresponding points:

$$P\ddot{X} = \tilde{x}_u \tag{25}$$

Since the equation is linear w.r.t. P, we can solve P by direct linear transformation. Combining this strategy into typical camera calibration method Zhang [1998, 2000], we derive the following algorithm.

# **Bibliography**

- O. D. Faugeras and G. Toscani. The calibration problem for stereo. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, IEEE Publ.86CH2290-5, pages 15–20. IEEE, 1986. 1, 2
- E. L. Hall, J. B. K. Tio, C. A. McPherson, and F. A. Sadjadi. Measuring curved surfaces for robot vision. *Computer*, 15(12):42-54, 1982. ISSN 0018-9162. doi: 10.1109/MC.1982.1653915. URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1109/MC.1982.1653915. 2
- Joaquim Salvi, Xavier Armangue, and Joan Batlle. A comparative review of camera calibrating methods with accuracy evaluation. *Pattern Recognition*, 35:1617–1635, 2002. 2
- Roger Y. Tsai. A versatile camera calibration technique for high-accuracy 3d machine vision metrology using off-the-shelf tv cameras and lenses. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 3(4):323–344, 1987. 2
- Juyang Weng, Paul Cohen, and Marc Herniou. Camera calibration with distortion models and accuracy evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 14(10):965–980, 1992. ISSN 0162-8828. doi: 10.1109/34.159901. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/34.159901. 1, 2
- Zhengyou Zhang. A flexible new technique for camera calibration. Technical report, Microsoft Research, 1998. 3
- Zhengyou Zhang. A flexible new technique for camera calibration. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 22(11):1330–1334, 2000. ISSN 0162–8828. doi: 10.1109/34.888718. URL http: //dx.doi.org/10.1109/34.888718. 3